

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

In re: Equifax Inc. Customer  
Data Security Breach Litigation

MDL Docket No. 2800  
No. 1:17-md-2800-TWT

CONSUMER ACTIONS

Chief Judge Thomas W. Thrash, Jr.

**CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR APPEAL BOND AS TO OBJECTOR JOHN DAVIS**

As shown in Consumer Plaintiffs' motion and memorandum in support (Doc. 1110), the Court should order Objector John Davis to post a modest bond of \$2,000 under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 7 to secure the costs of appeal. This is the same bond the Court previously ordered with respect to the other serial objectors who are similarly situated to Davis. *See* Doc. 1094 (Order to post appeal bonds). Davis's opposition (Doc. 1131) does nothing to change the analysis. For the reasons stated in the pending motion, Consumer Plaintiffs' Omnibus Reply in Support of Motion for Appeal Bond (Doc. 1067), and herein, the Court should order Davis to post an appeal bond as requested.

In opposition, Davis largely makes unpersuasive arguments similar to those made by other objectors in unsuccessfully opposing Plaintiffs' previous request

that they post appeal bonds. Davis has not shown any good reason why he, alone among those who have appealed from the final approval of the settlement, should not be required to ensure payment of the costs of appeal.

First, Davis does not argue he cannot afford to post a bond. To the contrary, Davis asserts he does not present a risk of nonpayment of costs on appeal because he is a member of the Eleventh Circuit bar and because plaintiffs have not identified a specific instance from his more than a dozen previous objections in which he failed to pay costs that were due. *See Doc. 999 at 2 n.1* (collecting cases in which Davis objected). But the fact that he is a habitual objector who lives outside this Court's jurisdiction is more than sufficient to suggest a risk of nonpayment. This is particularly true in the context of a Rule 7 bond, which is meant to protect the rights of appellees. *In re Ins. Brokerage Antitrust Litig.*, 2007 WL 1963063, at \*2 (D.N.J. July 2, 2007). Davis should bear the risk of nonpayment.

Second, he argues that Plaintiffs have not itemized and proved the precise costs on appeal. While the costs of copying, printing, and various transcripts, for example, are unknown at this time, the \$2,000 appeal bond requested here and already approved by the Court with respect to the other serial objectors is imminently reasonable, and on the low end of appeal bonds regularly approved.

*See, e.g., In re Checking Account Overdraft Litig.*, 2012 WL 456691, at \*3 n.6 (S.D. Fla. Feb. 14, 2012) (requiring \$5,000 appeal cost bond under Rule 7).

Third, Davis rambles that any delay in delivery of settlement benefits is attributable to class counsel and to the procedures for objecting. This argument is patently absurd, and regardless, irrelevant to the Rule 7 bond sought here. Plaintiffs could—but do not—seek a bond for the costs of the delay caused by Davis’s appeal.

Finally, Davis rehashes stale arguments on the merits of his objections to fees and service awards. The Court has repeatedly considered and rejected these objections. *See* Doc. 1029 at 93-94 (Amended Order Granting Final Approval, finding Davis’s objection regarding fee calculation is “frivolous”); *id.* at 108 (noting that other courts have “rejected out of hand” objection to the concept of service awards); *id.* at 110 (finding Davis a “serial objector” whose “objections are not in the best interests of the class,” and there is “no substantial likelihood [his] objections will be successful on appeal”); Doc. 1026 (denying motion to alter or amend the judgment for the same reasons). Based on those rulings, the Court can appropriately discount the merits of Davis’s appeal and consider his bad faith or vexatious conduct, further supporting the requested bond.

The Court should grant Plaintiffs’ motion for appeal bond.

Dated: June 12, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kenneth S. Canfield

Kenneth S. Canfield

Ga Bar No. 107744

**DOFFERMYRE SHIELDS**

**CANFIELD & KNOWLES, LLC**

1355 Peachtree Street, N.E.

Suite 1725

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Tel. 404.881.8900

kcanfield@dsckd.com

/s/ Amy E. Keller

Amy E. Keller

**DICELLO LEVITT GUTZLER LLC**

Ten North Dearborn Street

Eleventh Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Tel. 312.214.7900

akeller@dicellevitt.com

/s/ Norman E. Siegel

Norman E. Siegel

**STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON LLP**

460 Nichols Road, Suite 200

Kansas City, Missouri 64112

Tel. 816.714.7100

siegel@stuevesiegel.com

***Consumer Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel***

/s/ Roy E. Barnes

Roy E. Barnes  
Ga. Bar No. 039000  
**BARNES LAW GROUP, LLC**  
31 Atlanta Street  
Marietta, Georgia 30060  
Tel. 770.227.6375  
roy@barneslawgroup.com

David J. Worley  
Ga. Bar No. 776665  
**EVANGELISTA WORLEY LLC**  
8100A Roswell Road Suite 100  
Atlanta, Georgia 30350  
Tel. 404.205.8400  
david@ewlawllc.com

***Consumer Plaintiffs' Co-Liaison  
Counsel***

Andrew N. Friedman  
**COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS &  
TOLL PLLC**  
1100 New York Avenue, NW  
Suite 500  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel. 202.408.4600  
afriedman@cohenmilstein.com

Eric H. Gibbs  
**GIRARD GIBBS LLP**  
505 14th Street  
Suite 1110  
Oakland, California 94612  
Tel. 510.350.9700  
ehg@classlawgroup.com

James Pizzirusso  
**HAUSFELD LLP**  
1700 K Street NW Suite 650  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel. 202.540.7200  
[jpizzirusso@hausfeld.com](mailto:jpizzirusso@hausfeld.com)

Ariana J. Tadler  
**TADLER LAW LLP**  
One Penn Plaza  
36th Floor  
New York, New York 10119  
Tel. 212.946.9453  
[atadler@tadlerlaw.com](mailto:atadler@tadlerlaw.com)

John A. Yanchunis  
**MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX  
LITIGATION GROUP**  
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor  
Tampa, Florida 33602  
Tel. 813.223.5505  
[jyanchunis@forthepeople.com](mailto:jyanchunis@forthepeople.com)

William H. Murphy III  
**MURPHY, FALCON & MURPHY**  
1 South Street, 23rd Floor  
Baltimore, Maryland 21224  
Tel. 410.539.6500  
[hassan.murphy@murphyfalcon.com](mailto:hassan.murphy@murphyfalcon.com)

Jason R. Doss  
Ga. Bar No. 227117  
**THE DOSS FIRM, LLC**  
36 Trammell Street, Suite 101  
Marietta, Georgia 30064  
Tel. 770.578.1314  
[jasondoss@dossfirm.com](mailto:jasondoss@dossfirm.com)

***Consumer Plaintiffs' Steering  
Committee***

Rodney K. Strong  
**GRIFFIN & STRONG P.C.**  
235 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 400  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
Tel. 404.584.9777  
rodney@gspclaw.com

***Consumer Plaintiffs' State Court  
Coordinating Counsel***

**CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

I hereby certify that this reply memorandum has been prepared in compliance with Local Rules 5.1 and 7.1.

*/s/ Norman E. Siegel* \_\_\_\_\_

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on June 12, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. Those counsel for parties who are registered ECF users will be served by the ECF system.

I also certify that copies of the foregoing were served upon the following Objector-Appellants via U.S. Mail and electronic mail on June 12, 2020:

George Willard Cochran, Jr.  
1981 Crossfield Circle  
Kent, Ohio 44240  
[lawchrist@gmail.com](mailto:lawchrist@gmail.com)

Shiyang Huang  
2800 SW Angler Court  
Topeka, Kansas 66614  
[defectivesettlement@gmail.com](mailto:defectivesettlement@gmail.com)

John William Davis  
3030 N. Rocky Point Dr. W.  
Suite 150  
Tampa, Florida 33607  
[john@johnwdavis.com](mailto:john@johnwdavis.com)

I also certify that copies of the foregoing were served upon the following *pro se* Objector-Appellants by depositing those copies into a U.S. mailbox on June 12, 2020:

Christopher Andrews  
P.O. Box 530394  
Livonia, Michigan 48153

Alice-Marie Flowers  
P.O. Box 2322  
Anderson, Indiana 46108

/s/ Norman E. Siegel